

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Do. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usbiol.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/594,408 06/15/2000		06/15/2000	Joey L. Erickson	33012/292/101	9110
27516	759	06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
		PORATION	COLLINS, SCOTT M		
MS 4773 PO BOX				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAU	L, MN	55164-0942	2145 DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005		
	,				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/594,408	ERICKSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Scott M. Collins	2145		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Scott M. Collins	2145							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
E REPLY FILED 09 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS	and the second second second	6	h						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).						
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	allowable if submitted in a separate								
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. <i>S</i>	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	alls to provide a (1).						
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	cneu.						
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:						
<u>-</u>									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	ivo(S)							
13.   Other: The addition of numerous limitations to the claims would require further consideration and search.									
·	VALE	ENCIA MARTIN-WA VISORY PATENT E							